



# ATAR course examination, 2019 Question/Answer booklet

	student identification label in this box
WA student number: In figures	
In words	

## Time allowed for this paper

Reading time before commencing work: ten minutes Working time: three hours

## Materials required/recommended for this paper

To be provided by the supervisor

This Question/Answer booklet

Number of additional	
answer booklets used	
(if applicable):	
\       /	

#### To be provided by the candidate

Standard items: pens (blue/black preferred), pencils (including coloured), sharpener,

correction fluid/tape, eraser, ruler, highlighters

Special items: nil

## Important note to candidates

No other items may be taken into the examination room. It is **your** responsibility to ensure that you do not have any unauthorised material. If you have any unauthorised material with you, hand it to the supervisor **before** reading any further.

# Structure of this paper

Section	Number of questions available	Number of questions to be answered	Suggested working time (minutes)	Marks available	Percentage of examination
Section One Short answer	4	3	45	30	30
Section Two Source analysis	2	1	35	20	20
Section Three Essay Part A: Unit 3	2	1	100	50	50
Part B: Unit 4	2	1			
				Total	100

### Instructions to candidates

- 1. The rules for the conduct of the Western Australian external examinations are detailed in the *Year 12 Information Handbook 2019*. Sitting this examination implies that you agree to abide by these rules.
- 2. Answer the questions according to the following instructions.

Section One: Consists of four questions. You must answer three questions. Write your answers in this Question/Answer booklet.

Section Two: Consists of two questions. You must answer one question. Write your answers in this Question/Answer booklet.

Section Three: Consists of two parts each with two questions. You must answer one question from each part. Write your answers in this Question/Answer booklet.

- 3. You must be careful to confine your answers to the specific questions asked and to follow any instructions that are specific to a particular question.
- 4. Supplementary pages for planning/continuing your answers to questions are provided at the end of this Question/Answer booklet. If you use these pages to continue an answer, indicate at the original answer where the answer is continued, i.e. give the page number.

Section One: Short answer 30% (30 Marks)

This section has **four** questions. You must answer **three** questions. Write your answers in the spaces provided.

Supplementary pages for planning/continuing your answers to questions are provided at the end of this Question/Answer booklet. If you use these pages to continue an answer, indicate at the original answer where the answer is continued, i.e. give the page number.

Suggested working time: 45 minutes.

Que	stion 1	(10 marks)
(a)	Outline <b>one</b> source of the powers of the Governor-General in the Commonwea Constitution.	ilth (2 marks)
(b)	Explain the relationship between the Governor-General and the Federal Execu Council (FEC) as outlined in Section 62 of the Commonwealth Constitution.	tive (3 marks)

Discuss <b>one</b> argument for and <b>one</b> argument against the power of the to dismiss a Minister, including a Prime Minister.	(5 marks

tion 2	(10 marks
According to the Commonwealth Constitution, outline in which bodies judicial power is vested.	Commonwealth (2 marks
Explain the concept of 'separation of powers' as it exists in Australia.	(3 marks

non-Westminster political and legal system.	(5 mark

ion 3	(10 ma
Outline the purpose of Standing Orders in the Commonwealth Parliament.	(2 ma
Explain <b>one</b> way in which the Senate can keep the Commonwealth Parliam accountable.	ent (3 ma

110 110000 01 110	presentatives accountable'.	(5

ion 4	(10 marks)
Outline what is meant by 'transparent processes' in the Australian courts.	(2 marks)
Explain the process for removing Justices from the High Court in Australia.	(3 marks)

(c)	Discuss <b>two</b> ways in which the Commonwealth Parliament can hold the courts accountable.	(5 marks)

**End of Section One** 

Section Two: Source analysis	20% (20 Marks)
Section two: Source analysis	20% (20 Marks)

This section has **two** questions. You must answer **one** question. Write your answer in the spaces provided.

Supplementary pages for planning/continuing your answers to questions are provided at the end of this Question/Answer booklet. If you use these pages to continue an answer, indicate at the original answer where the answer is continued, i.e. give the page number.

Suggested working time: 35 minutes.

Question 5 (20 marks)

Read Source 1 and answer all the question parts that follow.

#### Source 1

The following is an edit	ted extract from a Rac	dio National broadd	ast on Wednesday	<sup>,</sup> 12 August,
2015 by Erica Vowles,	What the history of re	eferendums tells us	about constitution	al recognition.

For copyright reasons this text cannot be reproduced in the online version of this document.

	(2 m
With reference to <b>Source 1</b> , explain in your own words, <b>two</b> reasons why so	ome
referendums fail.	(4 m

# Question 5 (continued)

With re Comm	eference to <b>two</b> onwealth Cons	successful restitution.	ferendums i	n Australia, di	scuss how ead	h altered the (6 marks

Evaluate the impact of referrals of power in bringing about informal change to the Commonwealth Constitution.	(8 marks)

Question 6 (20 marks)

Read Source 2 and answer all the question parts that follow.

#### Source 2

The following is edited from an extract published by the Centre for Independent Studies entitled *The Limits of Australian Anti-discrimination Law* written by Helen Andrews in August 2016.

... Equality before the law is a fundamental tenet of the Anglosphere<sup>1</sup> legal system – all the more so in countries like Australia and the United States that pride themselves on their lack of a titled aristocracy.

. . .

Racial vilification complaints accounted for 18% of complaints filed with the AHRC² under the *Racial Discrimination Act* in 2014–15. In terms of public interest, however, speech-limiting provisions are among the most prominent features of anti-discrimination law. The case of *Eatock v Bolt* was a flashpoint in this debate, due to the national prominence of the respondent, columnist Andrew Bolt. The aspect of this decision most threatening to free speech rights was not the judge's finding that Bolt's columns constituted vilification on the grounds of race, but his findings that the columns did not fall under the protections in section 18D for 'fair comment on [a] matter of public interest' ... One can acknowledge the validity of racial vilification as a legal offence and still recognise that the political issues raised by Bolt – the allocation of taxpayer-funded grants and, more broadly, the rise of identity politics – are topics of legitimate interest to Australian citizens.

. . .

Too often in their 50-year history, anti-discrimination laws have been expanded not for any logical reason, much less any evidence-based reason, but simply because a minority seemed to be 'next' or had 'come of age' as a pressure group. Too rarely have people stopped to ask whether anti-discrimination law is really the best means for accomplishing some new political goal, especially given that ... anti-discrimination laws may not even be accomplishing the goals for which they were expressly designed any more.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Anglosphere – a group of English-speaking countries that share common roots in British culture and history

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>AHRC – Australian Human Rights Commission

Outline what is meant by 'equality before the law'.	
With reference to <b>Source 2</b> , explain in your own words, <b>two</b> issue	s associated with the
expansion of anti-discrimination law.	(4 ma

# Question 6 (continued)

Discuss <b>two</b> rights protected by common law in Australia today.	(6 marks	

Evaluate the extent to which particular group in Australi	ia in terms of thei	r political and lega	l rights.	(8 r

**End of Section Two** 

20

Section Three: Essay 50% (50 Marks)

This section has **four** questions. Answer **one** question from Part A: Unit 3 and answer **one** question from Part B: Unit 4. Write your answers on the pages following Question 10.

Supplementary pages for planning/continuing your answers to questions are provided at the end of this Question/Answer booklet. If you use these pages to continue an answer, indicate at the original answer where the answer is continued, i.e. give the page number.

Suggested working time: 100 minutes.

#### Part A: Unit 3

Answer **one** question from a choice of **two**.

Question 7 (25 marks)

In recent years, the Prime Minister's roles and powers have been too dependent on the Senate of the Commonwealth Parliament.

Evaluate this claim.

or

Question 8 (25 marks)

The High Court of Australia, through its judgments, has had a significant political impact in Australia.

Evaluate this claim, making reference to particular judgments of the High Court of Australia.

#### Part B: Unit 4

Answer one question from a choice of two.

Question 9 (25 marks)

Analyse the extent to which collective and individual ministerial responsibility and Senate Estimates have held the Executive accountable in the Australian political system in recent years.

or

Question 10 (25 marks)

Analyse the extent to which Australia and **one** other country uphold the democratic principles of popular participation and the rule of law.

Question number:	
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_

Question number:	

Question number:

Question number:	
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_

Question number:	

Question number:		

Question number:	

Question number:	

Question number:	

Question number:	
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_

Question number:	

Question number:	
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_
	_

Question number:		

Question number:	
	_
	-
	_

Question number:	

Supplementary page
Question number:

Supplementary page
Question number:

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Question 5 Source 1 adapted from: Vowles, E. (2015, August 12). What the

history of referendums tells us about constitutional recognition. ABC

Radio National. Retrieved May, 2019, from

https://www.abc.net.au/radionational/programs/rearvision/referendums

-and-constitutional-recognition/6691246

Question 6 Source 2 adapted from: Andrews, H. (2016). The limits of Australian

anti-discrimination law, pp. 8-11. Retrieved May, 2019, from

https://www.cis.org.au/app/uploads/2016/08/rr17.pdf

This document – apart from any third party copyright material contained in it – may be freely copied, or communicated on an intranet, for non-commercial purposes in educational institutions, provided that it is not changed and that the School Curriculum and Standards Authority is acknowledged as the copyright owner, and that the Authority's moral rights are not infringed.

Copying or communication for any other purpose can be done only within the terms of the *Copyright Act 1968* or with prior written permission of the School Curriculum and Standards Authority. Copying or communication of any third party copyright material can be done only within the terms of the *Copyright Act 1968* or with permission of the copyright owners.

Any content in this document that has been derived from the Australian Curriculum may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons <u>Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY)</u> licence.

Published by the School Curriculum and Standards Authority of Western Australia 303 Sevenoaks Street CANNINGTON WA 6107